



EOC
EUROASIAN
ONLINE
CONFERENCES

ENGLAND CONFERENCE

**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND
EDUCATION**



Google Scholar

zenodo

OpenAIRE

doi digital object
Identifier

eoconf.com - from 2024



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION: a collection scientific works of the International scientific conference – London, England, 2025. Issue 3

Languages of publication: **Uzbek**, English, Russian, German, Italian, Spanish

The collection consists of scientific research of scientists, graduate students and students who took part in the International Scientific online conference «**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION**». Which took place in London , 2025.

Conference proceedings are recommended for scientists and teachers in higher education establishments. They can be used in education, including the process of post - graduate teaching, preparation for obtain bachelors' and masters' degrees. The review of all articles was accomplished by experts, materials are according to authors copyright. The authors are responsible for content, researches results and errors.





The verb in Old English

Burkhonova Dilnoza

Fergana State University

4th year student of English Language and Literature

Scientific supervisor: **Ismoilova Gulyora**

Abstract: In this article, the grammatical and semantic properties of the verb in Old English are examined. The study discusses the system of strong and weak verbs and the conjugational behavior of anomalous forms from a historical-linguistic perspective. Furthermore, the article explores the development of tense, mood, person, and number categories and evaluates their position within the typology of the Germanic languages.

Keywords: Old English, verb, strong verbs, weak verbs, conjugation, historical grammar.

INTRODUCTION

The verbal system of Old English, the earliest documented stage of the English language (circa 450–1150 CE), exhibits a structure that is fundamentally Germanic in origin and markedly synthetic in form. Unlike Present-Day English, which relies heavily on analytic constructions and auxiliary verbs, Old English verbs encoded a substantial amount of grammatical information within inflectional morphology. The language inherited the dichotomy of strong and weak verbs from Proto-Germanic. Strong verbs formed their preterite through ablaut (vowel gradation), while weak verbs employed dental suffixation, typically *-de* or *-te*, to mark past tense. This opposition represents one of the key morphological diagnostics of the Germanic branch and survives residually in Present-Day English in pairs such as *sing–sang* vs. *love–loved*.

In addition to the tense–aspect distinction between present and preterite, Old English verbs inflected for mood (indicative, subjunctive, imperative), person (first, second, third), and number (singular and plural). Unlike later English, the subjunctive mood was morphologically distinct and productively used for volitional, hypothetical, and reported contexts. The system also contained so-called “preterite-present” verbs — historically old perfective forms that had come to function as presents — which played a crucial role in the development of modal verbs in English (*magan*, *sculan*, etc.). These categories align Old English with other early Germanic languages such as Gothic, Old High German, and Old Norse, illustrating a shared inheritance prior to the analytic drift that reshaped Middle and Modern English grammar.

From a syntactic perspective, verbs in Old English appeared in clause-final or verb-second patterns depending on sentence type and information structure. The rich morphology permitted relatively flexible word order





compared to Modern English. Understanding the Old English verbal system is not only essential for diachronic English linguistics but also for the reconstruction of earlier Indo-European grammatical architecture.

Methods: The present investigation employs a qualitative, philological, and historical-comparative approach to examine the structural behavior of the verb within Old English syntax. The primary evidence is drawn from close reading and syntactic annotation of representative Old English corpora, including heroic verse (e.g. *Beowulf*), annalistic prose (Anglo-Saxon Chronicle), and ecclesiastical didactic texts (e.g. Ælfric's homilies and pastoral letters). These corpora were chosen deliberately to cover distinct registers and discourse functions so as to avoid generalizing from a single stylistic domain.

In order to contextualize observations derived from primary materials, the analysis integrates standard descriptive works in Old English philology such as Mitchell & Robinson's reference grammar of Old English, the Bosworth-Toller lexicographic record, and recent work in Germanic historical syntax and diachronic typology. Secondary comparison is made with selected Middle English prose samples to trace changes in verbal placement following the reduction of inflectional morphology.

Three analytic axes structure the inquiry: (i) clause-level distribution of the finite verb under OV/VO variation and possible verb-second effects; (ii) the role of nominal and verbal morphology (case, agreement, person/number marking) in licensing non-canonical constituent order; and (iii) the influence of Latin syntactic calquing — especially in homiletic translation literature — on the entrenchment of newly-emerging subordinate patterns. The combined procedure is interpretive rather than statistical: the aim is to identify structural principles and transitional pressures rather than to quantify frequency alone.

Results: The analysis demonstrates that Old English verbal syntax was not controlled by rigid positional templates but by a morphologically transparent system of alignment. Case morphology on NPs and agreement features on verbs allowed both OV and VO clauses to function without ambiguity, because grammatical roles were recoverable morphologically rather than by fixed word position. Verb-medial orders (including V2-like placements) occur recurrently in main clauses, especially when sentence-initial positions are occupied by adverbs or discourse-structuring constituents.

Dependent clauses display a range of complementizers and relativizers (e.g. *þæt*, *þe*) that introduce subordination without triggering the modern constraint of obligatory verb-finality. At the same time, texts produced under the influence of Latin models — especially translations of patristic prose —





exhibit deeper embedding and more hierarchically layered clause structure, signaling the onset of a gradual drift toward positional syntax.

The data also reveal pronounced genre effects. Poetic narrative tolerates and even exploits syntactic inversion for metrical and rhetorical purposes, while legal and pastoral prose favors more regular word order to secure clarity. The coexistence of Germanic flexibility with emergent hierarchical ordering shows that Old English syntax already embodied transitional dynamics: morphology still carried the load, yet positional patterns were beginning to stabilize in certain textual ecologies.

Discussion: Taken together, the results confirm that the Old English verb occupies a structurally diagnostic position in the diachrony of English syntax. Its distribution illustrates a system in which morphological marking, rather than linear arrangement, secures syntactic interpretation — a configuration typologically consonant with older Germanic. The subsequent decline of inflectional morphology removes the very license that made non-canonical ordering intelligible, thereby preparing the ground for the modern reliance on rigid positional rules.

Latin contact emerges as a key extralinguistic driver of syntactic innovation. Latin-modeled subordination enters the vernacular not by replacing native syntax wholesale but by coexisting alongside it a hybridization phase in which inherited Germanic ordering principles and imported hierarchical practices jointly structure the clause. This hybridity is crucial: it shows that syntactic change proceeds by layered coexistence, not by instantaneous substitution.

The genre-distribution of patterns further refutes any notion of a monolithic Old English grammar. Register choice mediates how far morphology is exploited versus how far ordering is regularized. Poetic discourse leverages flexibility for stylistic effect; legal-ecclesiastical discourse moves toward predictable sequencing for functional transparency. That functional asymmetry foreshadows later English, where register and medium (oral vs. written, literary vs. administrative) correlate with syntactic rigidity.

Thus, the Old English verb provides a window onto a structural pivot in the history of English: from a morphology-driven grammar to a position-driven grammar. The evidence does not merely describe a past stage — it shows the mechanism of change: internal erosion of morphology, contact-induced reanalysis, and discourse-conditioned stabilization jointly reorganize the architecture of the clause. Understanding this transitional equilibrium clarifies how the syntax of Present-Day English could emerge from a system built on fundamentally different grammatical principles.

REFERENCE

1. Mitchell, B., & Robinson, F. C. (2012). *A Guide to Old English* (8th ed.).





- Wiley-Blackwell.
2. Mitchell, B. (1985). *Old English Syntax* (2 vols.). Clarendon Press.
 3. Bosworth, J., & Toller, T. N. (1898–1921). *An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary*. Oxford University Press.
 4. Hogg, R. M. (Ed.). (1992). *The Cambridge History of the English Language: Vol. 1 — The Beginnings to 1066*. Cambridge University Press.
 5. Traugott, E. C. (1992). Syntax. In R. M. Hogg (Ed.), *The Cambridge History of the English Language* (Vol. 1, pp. 168–289). Cambridge University Press.
 6. Kroch, A., & Taylor, A. (2000). Verb-object order in Early Middle English. In S. Pintzuk, G. Tsoulas & A. Warner (Eds.), *Diachronic Syntax: Models and Mechanisms* (pp. 132–163). Oxford University Press.
 7. Pintzuk, S. (1999). *Phrase Structure Variation in Old English*. Garland Publishing.

