ENGLAND
CONFERENCE

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION

Google Scholar · zenodo · OpenAIRE · doi e digital object identifier

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION: a collection scientific works of the International scientific conference – London, England, 2025. Issue 4

Languages of publication: Uzbek, English, Russian, German, Italian, Spanish

The collection consists of scientific research of scientists, graduate students and students who took part in the International Scientific online conference **«INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION»**. Which took place in London , 2025.

Conference proceedings are recommended for scientists and teachers in higher education establishments. They can be used in education, including the process of post - graduate teaching, preparation for obtain bachelors' and masters' degrees. The review of all articles was accomplished by experts, materials are according to authors copyright. The authors are responsible for content, researches results and errors.

The Language of the Internet: Memes, Emojis, and the Evolution of Communication on Social Media

Rustamova Ruxshona Avazbek qizi

Student of ASIFL

Supervisor: **I.Egamberdiyeva**

Associate professor of ASIFL

Abstract: This article analyzes the linguistic and sociological impact of memes, emojis, and platform-specific jargon as essential tools of digital communication. We position the language of the Internet not as a deviation from, but as a dynamic and complementary system to, traditional language, driven by the need for speed, emotional expression, and cultural self-identification. The core argument is that modern digital discourse is becoming increasingly multimodal, contextual, and visual, relying on digital paralanguage (emojis) and cultural text (memes) to convey complex meanings efficiently. The philological, semiotic, and educational consequences of these new linguistic forms are discussed.

Key words: emoji, stickers, commonication, philological perspectives, evalution, Internet language, TikTok, Twitter

Language, humanity's most complex invention, has continually evolved through oral, written, and gestural forms. However, the early 21st century has witnessed an unprecedented acceleration in the pace of communication, largely driven by mobile devices and social media platforms. This environment has introduced new communicative demands that often bypass the strict rules of conventional grammar and syntax: the necessity for brevity, speed, and the delivery of high-context emotional cues.

The roots of Internet language can be traced back to the ASCII art of the 1970s and the early emoticons of the 1980s used to compensate for the emotional sterility of plain text. Today, this language has matured into a complex visual-semantic system comprising emojis, GIFs, memes, and platform-specific slang. From a philological perspective, this shift highlights that language is not solely confined to alphabetical letters or phonetic sounds, but is expanding through multimodal sign systems. This article will focus on the two most powerful elements of this digital vernacular: emojis and memes.

Emojis (from the Japanese e 'picture' + moji 'character') have fundamentally reshaped modern digital discourse. If face-to-face communication relies on tone of voice, facial expressions, and body language (paralanguage) to enrich meaning, emojis serve this precise function in text-based interactions. They are a necessary corrective to the ambiguity inherent in written communication.

One of the greatest limitations of traditional written text is emotional ambiguity. For instance, the phrase, "I'm fine," can be interpreted as a genuine

statement, a polite brush-off, or a sarcastic comment. Emojis resolve this by providing crucial emotional context:

- "I'm fine ": Positive, genuine comfort.
- "I'm fine ": Sarcastic, implying exasperation or annoyance.
- "I'm fine ": Hyperbolic, dark humor, or signaling an overwhelming reaction (colloquial for "I'm dead").

Case Example:

Q: "Did you finish the report on time?"

A: "Yeah, I crushed it. "

Here, the (Fire) emoji transcends simple affirmation. It functions as an intensifier, conveying not just that the report was finished, but that it was completed with excellence, speed, and enthusiasm. Emojis, therefore, act as miniature affective particles that modify the sentiment of the adjacent text. Perhaps the most fascinating linguistic aspect of emojis is their semantic drift—the process by which their meaning departs from their original, denotative definition to acquire new, connotative meanings driven by collective user practice.

Semantic drift is a fundamental linguistic process where the meaning of a word or symbol gradually changes over time. In the context of emojis, it is the divergence of the sign's original, literal, or denotative meaning (what it visually depicts) from its current, context-dependent, or connotative meaning (what it actually implies in social use).

Emoji	Original (Denotative) Meaning	Current (Connotative/Internet) Meaning
	Loud, joyful crying/laughter.	Often viewed as outdated, "boomer," or mild amusement.
	Weeping, sorrow, despair.	Crying from extreme laughter, overwhelming joy, or dramatic disappointment.
	Death, skeleton, danger.	Literal "dying" from laughter or shock; extreme humor.
	Brain, intelligence, thought.	Often used ironically to mean "big brain," suggesting foolishness or over-complication.

This constant reinterpretation demonstrates the organic, bottom-up nature of Internet language, where users collectively shape the meaning of signs, mirroring the historical evolution of spoken language.

The term "meme" originated with Richard Dawkins' The Selfish Gene (1976) to describe a unit of cultural information that replicates and spreads from person to person, similar to a biological gene. In the Internet context, a meme is a piece of media (visual, text, or audio) that is widely shared, often rapidly, and is capable of being transformed and adapted to deliver humorous or sociopolitical commentary. A meme is rarely a single, static object; rather, it is a complex semiotic structure that combines multiple sign systems to create meaning:

1. Visual Context (The Template): The underlying image or GIF that forms the conceptual foundation of the meme. For instance, the "Distracted Boyfriend" meme is a reusable visual scenario depicting a fundamental conflict or dilemma.

2. Lexical Context (The Caption): The overlaid text that anchors the visual template to a specific, current social or cultural situation.

3. Collective Knowledge (Intertextuality): The power of a meme lies in its intertextual nature—it requires the reader to be aware of its previous iterations, the original context of the image, and sometimes, other related memes. Understanding a meme acts as an in-group signal (discussed below).

Case Example: The Distracted Boyfriend Meme

This template features three distinct subjects, each assigned a meaning by the user's captions:

• The Boyfriend: The subject who is rejecting one thing for another.

• The Girlfriend: The current, necessary, or important obligation.

• The Passing Woman: The new, tempting, or time-wasting alternative.

If the Girlfriend is captioned "Studying for Finals," the Boyfriend "Me," and the Passing Woman "Scrolling TikTok," the meme instantly satirizes the universal struggle with procrastination. The template's inherent structure allows for infinite substitution of lexical elements while preserving the core conflict narrative.

Memes serve two primary rhetorical functions in digital discourse:

1. Irony and Satire: Memes provide a rapid, succinct, and often bitingly satirical tool to critique current political, social, or cultural events. They allow for the reduction of complex debates into an immediately recognizable, ironic punchline.

2. In-Group Signaling (Digital Argo): The ability to understand a meme signals membership in a particular digital community or subculture. If a user doesn't grasp the required context, the meme's meaning collapses. This functions as a type of digital argo or jargon, strengthening communal bonds and excluding outsiders, reinforcing group identity. The language of the Internet is not monolithic. Each social media platform, constrained by its technical architecture and driven by its core demographic, has generated distinct linguistic norms, leading to a kind of digital dialectical differentiation.

X (Twitter): Brevity and the Power of the Hashtag

Twitter, particularly due to its historical 140/280-character limit, fostered a linguistic environment prioritizing extreme conciseness:

• *Aggressive Abbreviations:* Slang like cuz (because), u (you), and acronyms like TL;DR (Too Long; Didn't Read) became standard lexicon.

• *Phonetic Spelling:* Formal orthography was often ignored in favor of phonetic representations that mimic spoken word ("gonna," "wanna," "tho"), accelerating the transition of oral features into written text.

• *The Hashtag (#):* The hashtag is arguably Twitter's most significant syntactic innovation. It functions as a metalinguistic marker that instantly categorizes the preceding word or phrase and links it to a global conversation. It allows the writer to self-contextualize their text.

TikTok: Visual-Audio Vernacular

TikTok pushes communication into a multimodal space where sound and visual cues often take precedence over the written word:

• *Audio Rhythmic Primacy:* Short audio clips and soundbites become the primary linguistic unit. Certain phrases or sounds (e.g., sound effects, specific slang like "rizz" or "cheugy") are rapidly adopted globally. The meaning often resides more in the audio's rhythm or emotional delivery than its literal semantic content.

• *Visual Coding:* Specific facial expressions, gestures, or filters combine with the audio track to form a new code (e.g., a certain dramatic look paired with a voice-over to signal a "POV" or point-of-view scene). In this environment, the written language may become entirely secondary to the audio-visual narrative.

Traditional language studies often focus on prescriptive grammar—the establishment of "correct" rules for language use. Internet language, conversely, is profoundly descriptive—a system whose rules are naturally generated and constantly modified by its users.

• *Punctuation and Capitalization:* In digital discourse, the absence of initial capital letters (e.g., "i didn't know that") signals informality or nonchalance, while ALL CAPS indicates shouting, aggression, or extreme emphasis. Repetitive punctuation (e.g., "Nooooo!!!") intensifies emotion. This shows that the standard rules of orthography are being overridden by an emergent, affective logic.

• *Neo-Lexica:* The daily creation of thousands of neologisms, slang, and abbreviations challenges lexicographers. The philological task is to rapidly catalog and analyze this ever-shifting vernacular to avoid losing crucial documentation of linguistic change.

Effective communication today requires not only mastery of traditional grammar but also a high degree of digital literacy or communicative competence in these new semiotic codes.

• *Visual Etiquette:* Understanding which emojis are appropriate in which context, when a meme is culturally relevant, and which jargon to avoid in formal settings constitutes the new "digital etiquette."

• *Pedagogical Challenges:* Language teaching methodologies must now integrate these changes. Students need to be taught to code-switch effectively—to differentiate between the highly informal, affective style of social media and the formal, rule-bound style required for academic or professional writing.

Example: Using intense abbreviations and emojis in a formal work email ("Project done, thx   ") could be interpreted as a lack of professionalism, even if the intent was to convey quick gratitude. Digital literacy is about explicitly recognizing and navigating these professional boundaries.

The language of the Internet, driven by the emergence of emojis and memes, does not signal the decay of traditional language but rather its hybridization and enrichment. This new system successfully addresses the modern need for urgent information exchange, immediate emotional sharing, and strong in-group identity signaling.

Emojis have evolved into a universal affective vocabulary, providing a compact emotional key that injects the richness of spoken paralanguage into written text. They help clarify subjective intent and emotional state. Memes represent the semiotic form of the modern collective narrative, summarizing complex cultural, political, and social ideas in a multi-layered, ironic, and rapidly adaptable format. They are a powerful synthesis of text, image, and cultural context. The field of philology must continue to actively study this evolution. The Internet provides a living laboratory demonstrating how language's fundamental function—to create and exchange meaning—is rapidly developing through increasingly visual and multimodal means. This evolution is making communication more efficient, expressive, and intimately connected to the human emotional experience.

References:

1. Crystal, D. (2001). Language and the Internet. Cambridge University Press. (Foundational work on digital linguistics).

2. Dawkins, R. (1976). The Selfish Gene. Oxford University Press. (The origin of the "meme" concept).

3. Dynel, M. (2018). "The concept of internet meme: A linguistic perspective." International Journal of Communication. (Analysis of the rhetorical and structural aspects of memes).

4. Evans, V. (2017). The Emoji Code: Turning Faces and the Digital Age. Picador. (Explores the cognitive and semiotic role of emojis).

5. Gershon, I. (2010). The Breakup 2.0: Disconnecting and Reconnecting in the Digital Age. Cornell University Press. (Context and genres in digital communication).

6. Plester, B. (2016). Youth language and the Internet. Cambridge University Press. (Focus on abbreviations, slang, and youth).