



**E O C**  
EUROASIAN  
ONLINE  
CONFERENCES

# ENGLAND CONFERENCE

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON  
MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND  
EDUCATION



Google Scholar

zenodo

OpenAIRE

doi digital object  
identifier



**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION:** a collection scientific works of the International scientific conference – London, England, 2025. Issue 4

**Languages of publication:** **Uzbek, English, Russian, German, Italian, Spanish**

The collection consists of scientific research of scientists, graduate students and students who took part in the International Scientific online conference **«INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION»**. Which took place in London , 2025.

Conference proceedings are recommended for scientists and teachers in higher education establishments. They can be used in education, including the process of post - graduate teaching, preparation for obtain bachelors' and masters' degrees. The review of all articles was accomplished by experts, materials are according to authors copyright. The authors are responsible for content, researches results and errors.





## COMMUNICATION ETIQUETTE AND SPEECH ETIQUETTE: SOCIOLINGUISTIC AND PRAGMATIC DIMENSIONS

**Yuldasheva Sabina Yusufovna**

Kokand State University

Kokand, Uzbekistan

[yuldashevasabina18@gmail.com](mailto:yuldashevasabina18@gmail.com)

### Abstract

The article explores communication etiquette and speech etiquette as interrelated systems governing human interaction in various social and cultural contexts. Special attention is given to their sociolinguistic conditioning, cultural variability, pragmatic functions, and transformations in the digital age. The study demonstrates that etiquette is not a static set of rules but a dynamic communicative mechanism shaped by social structure, identity, and technological change.

**Keyword:** communication etiquette, speech etiquette, sociolinguistics, politeness, pragmatics, intercultural communication, digital discourse.

Communication etiquette and speech etiquette constitute essential components of interpersonal communication. Although often used interchangeably, these concepts refer to different yet closely connected levels of social behavior. Communication etiquette represents a system of socially accepted norms that regulate verbal and non-verbal interaction, while speech etiquette embodies the linguistic manifestations of these norms. Understanding their relationship is crucial for analyzing how individuals construct, maintain, and negotiate social relationships through language.

Communication etiquette operates as a broad behavioral framework that shapes the ways people interact in both formal and informal contexts. It encompasses gestures, body language, turn-taking conventions, the management of personal space, and general expectations of politeness. These norms are socially learned and vary depending on factors such as age, gender, profession, social status, and the degree of familiarity between interlocutors. Through these mechanisms, communication etiquette reflects broader social structures and contributes to the regulation of interpersonal dynamics.

Speech etiquette represents the verbal dimension of etiquette behavior. It includes conventionalized formulas such as greetings, forms of address, apologies, compliments, and expressions of gratitude. The choice among these forms is never arbitrary; it is determined by culturally specific norms and the social roles of the participants. Speech etiquette functions as a linguistic tool for maintaining social distance, showing respect, building rapport, and managing face needs. It helps to minimize communicative friction and ensures predictability in everyday interaction.

Cultural and Sociolinguistic Variability





Etiquette systems differ significantly across cultures, and speech etiquette often becomes a site where cultural identity is most visibly manifested. Societies vary in their preferred politeness strategies—whether they value directness or indirectness, explicitness or mitigation, formality or informality. Misinterpretation of these strategies frequently leads to pragmatic failures in intercultural communication. Therefore, awareness of cultural etiquette norms is crucial for fostering successful interaction in multilingual and multicultural settings.

### Pragmatic Functions and Interactional Organization

Beyond its social and cultural dimensions, speech etiquette performs important pragmatic functions. It structures communication by providing expected patterns for initiating, maintaining, and concluding interaction. Etiquette formulas serve as pragmatic routines that regulate conversational flow, reduce uncertainty, support cooperation, and signal intentions. They also help maintain the balance between positive and negative face needs, contributing to the overall harmony of communicative exchange.

**Etiquette in Digital Communication** With the rise of digital communication platforms, etiquette norms have undergone significant transformation. The absence of physical presence introduces new challenges for politeness expression, such as the need to regulate tone without prosody or manage turn-taking in asynchronous formats. Digital etiquette includes conventions for message length, response time, emoji use, and online forms of address. These practices combine traditional etiquette with emerging patterns shaped by technological affordances.

Communication etiquette and speech etiquette form a dynamic and culturally embedded system that plays a central role in human interaction. Their study provides valuable insights into the relationship between language, society, and identity. In an era of globalization and digitalization, understanding etiquette norms becomes increasingly important for ensuring effective and respectful communication across cultural and technological boundaries.

### References

1. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Crystal, D. (2006). *Language and the internet*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Hymes, D. (1974). *Foundations of sociolinguistics*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
4. Leech, G. (1983). *Principles of pragmatics*. Longman.
5. Scollon, R., & Scollon, S. (2012). *Intercultural communication: A discourse approach*. Wiley-Blackwell.
6. Yuldasheva, S. (2024). Key factors in the study of Russian literature. *Молодые ученые*, 2(32), 95–97.
7. Юлдашева, С. Ю. (2021). Функционально-семантическое поле наречных фразеологизмов. *Мировая наука*, 202–204.





8. Yusufovna, Y. S. (2022). The phenomenon of ambiguity in the phraseological system of the Russian language. *Central Asian Journal of Social Sciences and History*, 3(11), 165–169.
9. Yusufovna, Y. S. (2023). Phraseological units in the course of Russian as a foreign language: On the issue of selection conditions and methods of study. *JournalNX*, 9(1), 193–197.
10. Yusufovna, Y. S. (2024). The motive of madness in the novels of Edgar Allan Poe. *Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 2(11), 114–117.
11. Yusufovna, Y. S. (2025). "Congratulations" as a manifestation of folk culture. *Web of Humanities: Journal of Social Science and Humanitarian Research*, 3(5), 87–92.

