



EOC
EUROASIAN
ONLINE
CONFERENCES



ENGLAND CONFERENCE

**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON
MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND
EDUCATION**



Google Scholar

zenodo

OpenAIRE

doi • digital object
identifier

eoconf.com - from 2024



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION: a collection scientific works of the International scientific conference – London, England, 2025. Issue 1

Languages of publication: **Uzbek**, English, Russian, German, Italian, Spanish

The collection consists of scientific research of scientists, graduate students and students who took part in the International Scientific online conference «**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES AND EDUCATION**». Which took place in London , 2025.

Conference proceedings are recommended for scientists and teachers in higher education establishments. They can be used in education, including the process of post - graduate teaching, preparation for obtain bachelors' and masters' degrees. The review of all articles was accomplished by experts, materials are according to authors copyright. The authors are responsible for content, researches results and errors.





L2 Pragmatic Competence and Education: Teaching and Assessing Pragmatics in EFL/ESL Contexts

Nizamova Khilola Bokhodir qizi

Tashkent University of Economics and technologies

Senior teacher of the department "Economics"

Abstract: This article explores the pivotal role of pragmatic competence in second language (L2) acquisition, emphasizing its significance for English as a Foreign Language (EFL) and English as a Second Language (ESL) learners. Pragmatic competence encompasses the ability to use language effectively and appropriately in social interactions, including understanding and producing speech acts, employing politeness strategies, and interpreting implied meanings. The discussion delves into interlanguage pragmatics, highlighting how learners' language use differs from native norms, and instructional pragmatics, focusing on pedagogical methods to develop these skills. Innovative approaches, such as digital games, simulations, and telecollaboration, are examined for their potential to immerse students in realistic communicative scenarios, thereby enhancing their pragmatic abilities.

Keywords: pragmatic competence, interlanguage pragmatics, pragmatics instruction, language learning

Introduction

In the field of applied linguistics, pragmatic competence has become a crucial aspect of communicative competence, especially when learning a second language (L2). This competence involves using language effectively and appropriately in social interactions, which includes understanding and producing speech acts, employing politeness strategies, and interpreting implied meanings. For learners of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) and English as a Second Language (ESL), developing pragmatic competence is vital for achieving communicative proficiency and navigating various sociocultural contexts.

The significance of L2 pragmatic competence in education by examining its theoretical foundations, instructional methods, assessment techniques, and the role of technology in enhancing pragmatic learning. By delving into interlanguage pragmatics and instructional pragmatics, it aims to provide insights into effective teaching strategies for fostering pragmatic competence among EFL/ESL learners.

Pragmatic competence encompasses the ability to understand and produce language that is appropriate to the context, taking into account factors like the speaker's intentions, the relationship between interlocutors, and cultural norms. It consists of two main components:





Pragmalinguistic Knowledge: This refers to the linguistic resources used to convey communicative acts.

- **Sociopragmatic Knowledge:** This involves understanding the social norms that govern language use.

- **Challenges in Acquiring Pragmatic Competence**

Kathleen Bardovi-Harlig, a notable researcher in interlanguage pragmatics, points out that L2 learners often struggle with acquiring pragmatic competence due to limited exposure to authentic language use and sociocultural conventions. Her research underscores the necessity of incorporating pragmatic instruction into language curricula to bridge the gap between learners' linguistic proficiency and their ability to use language appropriately in real-life situations.

Interlanguage Pragmatics (ILP) studies how L2 learners develop pragmatic competence and how their language use differs from that of native speakers. Research in this area has shown that learners frequently transfer pragmatic norms from their first language (L1) to the L2, which can lead to pragmatic errors or misunderstandings. For example, an EFL learner might make direct requests that are perceived as impolite in English-speaking cultures, reflecting L1 influence.

To address these issues, ILP studies recommend explicit instruction that raises learners' awareness of pragmatic norms and provides opportunities for practice in various contexts. By understanding the pragmatic features of the target language, learners can adjust their language use to align with native speaker norms, thereby enhancing their communicative effectiveness.

Instructional pragmatics focuses on teaching methods aimed at developing learners' pragmatic competence. Effective instruction includes explicit teaching of speech acts (such as requests, apologies, and compliments), politeness strategies, and the use of authentic materials to illustrate pragmatic norms.

Andrew D. Cohen emphasizes the benefits of explicit instruction in pragmatics, suggesting that learners gain from direct explanations of pragmatic rules and guided practice. He advocates for incorporating metapragmatic discussions, role-plays, and analysis of authentic dialogues to enhance learners' awareness and application of pragmatic conventions.

Furthermore, integrating pragmatics into language curricula necessitates teacher training and the development of resources. Educators need to be equipped with the knowledge and tools to teach pragmatics effectively, including access to teaching materials, assessment instruments, and professional development opportunities.

Assessing pragmatic competence presents unique challenges due to its context-dependent nature and the variability of appropriate language use





across cultures. Traditional language assessments often focus on grammatical accuracy, overlooking the pragmatic aspects of communication.

To evaluate pragmatic competence, researchers and educators employ various methods, including:

1. **Discourse Completion Tasks (DCTs):** Learners respond to hypothetical scenarios, allowing assessment of their ability to produce contextually appropriate language.
2. **Role-Plays:** Simulated interactions provide insights into learners' pragmatic performance in dynamic contexts.
3. **Self-Assessment Questionnaires:** Learners reflect on their pragmatic awareness and experiences.
4. **Observation and Analysis:** Recording and analyzing learners' interactions in authentic settings to assess pragmatic use.

These assessment methods aim to capture learners' ability to use language appropriately, considering factors such as politeness, formality, and cultural norms. Developing reliable and valid assessment tools remains an ongoing endeavor in the field of applied linguistics.

Advancements in technology have introduced new avenues for teaching and learning pragmatics. Digital tools and platforms offer immersive environments where learners can engage in authentic communication and practice pragmatic skills.

1. **Digital Games and Simulations:** Interactive games and simulations provide learners with opportunities to navigate social scenarios, make choices, and receive feedback on their language use. These tools promote experiential learning and help learners internalize pragmatic norms through practice.
2. **Telecollaboration and Virtual Exchange:** Telecollaboration connects learners from different cultural backgrounds through online platforms to engage in collaborative tasks. This approach fosters intercultural competence and pragmatic awareness by exposing learners to diverse communication styles and cultural perspectives.
3. **Online Resources and Corpora:** Access to online corpora and databases allows learners to explore authentic language use, analyze speech acts, and understand pragmatic patterns. Educators can utilize these resources to design data-driven activities that enhance learners' pragmatic competence.

Integrating technology into pragmatic instruction enhances learner engagement, provides exposure to authentic language use, and supports the development of context-sensitive communication skills.

Despite the increasing recognition of pragmatic competence in language education, several challenges persist:





- **Curriculum Integration:** Incorporating pragmatics into existing curricula requires careful planning, resource allocation, and teacher training.

- **Assessment Validity:** Developing reliable and valid assessment tools for pragmatic competence remains complex due to the variability of appropriate language use across different cultural contexts.

- **Technological Accessibility:** While technology offers innovative avenues for pragmatic instruction, disparities in access to digital tools and resources can hinder implementation, especially in under-resourced educational settings.

- **Teacher Preparedness:** Educators may lack sufficient training in pragmatics, necessitating professional development programs to equip them with the necessary skills and knowledge.

Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts among educators, researchers, and policymakers to prioritize pragmatic competence in language education. Future research should focus on developing comprehensive instructional frameworks, refining assessment methodologies, and exploring the efficacy of technology-enhanced learning environments.

Conclusion

Pragmatic competence is a vital component of communicative proficiency in second language acquisition. For EFL/ESL learners, the ability to use language appropriately in diverse social contexts enhances their overall communicative effectiveness. Integrating pragmatic instruction into language education, employing effective assessment strategies, and leveraging technology can significantly contribute to the development of learners' pragmatic skills. Ongoing research and innovation in this field will continue to inform best practices and support learners in achieving pragmatic competence.

References

1. Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2012). Pragmatics in SLA. In S. M. Gass & A. Mackey (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 147–162). Routledge.
2. Cohen, A. D. (2008). Teaching and assessing L2 pragmatics: What can we expect from learners? *Language Teaching*, 41(2), 213–235.
3. Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic Development in a Second Language*. Blackwell Publishing.
4. Taguchi, N. (2015). Instructed pragmatics at a glance: Where instructional studies were, are, and should be going. *Language Teaching*, 48(1), 1–50.
5. Ishihara, N., & Cohen, A. D. (2010). *Teaching and Learning Pragmatics: Where Language and Culture Meet*. Pearson Education.





6. Martínez-Flor, A., & Usó-Juan, E. (2006). A comprehensive pedagogical framework to develop pragmatics in the foreign language classroom: The 6R approach. *Applied Language Learning*, 16, 39–64.
7. Sykes, J. M., & Holden, C. (2011). Communicative competence in digital contexts: Interlanguage pragmatics and language learning in virtual environments. *Intercultural Education*, 22(4), 321–334.
8. McNeil, L. (2020). Developing pragmatic competence in digital game worlds. *TESL-EJ*, 25(1).
9. Taguchi, N., & Roever, C. (2017). *Second Language Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
10. Roever, C. (2011). Testing of second language pragmatics: Past and future. *Language Testing*, 28(4), 463–481.
11. Holden, C., & Sykes, J. M. (2011). Leveraging mobile games for place-based language learning. *International Journal of Game-Based Learning*, 1(2), 1–18.
12. Godwin-Jones, R. (2013). Emerging technologies: Telecollaboration as an approach to developing intercultural communicative competence. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17(2), 1–12.
13. Taguchi, N. (2011). Teaching pragmatics: Trends and issues. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 289–310.
14. Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Mahan-Taylor, R. (2003). *Teaching Pragmatics*. United States Department of State.
15. Taguchi, N., & Sykes, J. M. (2013). Technology-enhanced pragmatics learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17(1), 1–3.

